

## Programme Reviews and Modifications

Programmes are continuously evaluated by the programme team, particularly at the end of each academic year. Revisions made to programmes generally occur after consultation with students, employers, partner organisations and relevant staff.

### For Qualifications Awarded by the University of Greenwich:

All programmes are subject to a finite period of approval, which is no more than 5 years. A programme 'Review' is a distinct reflective activity undertaken at the end of a period of programme approval (generally 5 years). As a result of the Review and approval process, changes to programme structure and content are likely to be made.

Other activities, which may lead to programme change, but which do not constitute a substitute for periodic review include:

- (a) An accreditation visit from a Professional, Statutory or Regulatory Body (PSRB) (if applicable)
- (b) A sequence of small programme changes undertaken prior to the session of review, for example, changes to learning objectives or assessment methods within a course (module). These changes may be due to yearly reflection activities by the teaching team, taking into consideration feedback from students, external examiners, employers and other relevant persons.
- (c) Large scale changes undertaken prior to the session of review, for example, the introduction of an alternative course (module) of study. These changes may be due to yearly reflection activities by the teaching team, taking into consideration feedback from students, external examiners, employers and other relevant persons.

Periodic programme review may be brought forward in three specific instances:

- (a) where a Faculty, in considering proposals for programme change, concludes that the level of change will impact significantly upon the overall aims, objectives and outcomes of the programme
- (b) where new PSRB requirements are such that significant curricular amendments are needed to update a programme as an immediate response;
- (c) where a programme is linked to another programme whose review date is earlier and the suite of programmes would benefit from concurrent review.

### For Qualifications Awarded by Pearson:

Pearson are responsible for the content of modules, and every few years, Higher National programmes are redesigned in collaboration with employers, students, education institutions and professional bodies.

As part of the internal review process, the College may make revisions to the modules that it delivers and the options available to students through consultation with students, employers, partner organisations and relevant staff. The changes made should not affect current students.